

Surrey Arts – Summary Internal Audit Findings and Recommendations

Annex A

| Audit | Background to review | Key findings | Audit opinion (1) | Recommendations for improvement (Priority) (2) |
|-------------|---|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Surrey Arts | <p>In August 2013 Surrey Arts consolidated its operations at a new facility in Guildford. The move has allowed it to bring together in one location its extensive holding of musical instruments and costumes, which represent an important revenue stream.</p> | <p>Recent acquisitions of instruments have been funded through a grant from central government to support national initiatives promoting music lessons in schools. Changes to these initiatives, however, has created a misalignment between stock holding and demand from hiring schools.</p> <p>The Service does not have an articulated approach to generating revenue from the hire of instruments.</p> | Significant Improvement Needed | <p>Surrey Arts should consider creating an asset management strategy which effectively joins up all activities related to the acquisition, monitoring, maintenance and disposal of its musical instrument stock. (H)</p> <p>Surrey Arts should consider creating an articulated income strategy which details future plans for using its assets to generate revenue. (H)</p> <p>Surrey Arts should strongly consider prioritising the creation of a comprehensive database of its instrument stock. (H)</p> <p>The Service should review its records management arrangements to ensure that all signed hire agreements are safely kept until the instrument is returned. (M)</p> <p>Surrey Arts should consider articulating an asset disposal policy which details how value from unwanted instruments can be reclaimed. (M)</p> |

¹ Audit Opinions

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Effective | Controls evaluated are adequate, appropriate, and effective to provide reasonable assurance that risks are being managed and objectives should be met. |
| Some Improvement Needed | A few specific control weaknesses were noted; generally however, controls evaluated are adequate, appropriate, and effective to provide reasonable assurance that risks are being managed and objectives should be met. |
| Significant Improvement Needed | Numerous specific control weaknesses were noted. Controls evaluated are unlikely to provide reasonable assurance that risks are being managed and objectives should be met. |
| Unsatisfactory | Controls evaluated are not adequate, appropriate, or effective to provide reasonable assurance that risks are being managed and objectives should be met. |

² Audit Recommendations

Priority High (H) - major control weakness requiring immediate implementation of recommendation

Priority Medium (M) - existing procedures have a negative impact on internal control or the efficient use of resources

Priority Low (L) - recommendation represents good practice but its implementation is not fundamental to internal control